

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/763,674	01/22/2004	Eric C. Hannah	42P13119D	7451
8791	7590 07/10/2006		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			TRAN, MY	CHAU T
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGEL	LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030		1639	

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/763,674	HANNAH, ERIC C.	
Λ ,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
Restric	tio-	MY-CHAU T. TRAN	1639	
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO pi - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH c, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  lly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 N	ovember 2005.		
2a)□ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)□ S	ince this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
С	losed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositio	n of Claims			
5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or or	wn from consideration.		
Application	n Papers			
9)[] Ti	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)∐ Ti	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to by	the Examiner.	
	pplicant may not request that any objection to the			
	replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)[11	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached t	Jilice Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority document.  Certified copies of the priority document.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority document.  the copies of the certified copies of the priority document.  The copies of the certified copies of the priority document.  The copies of the certified copies of the priority document.  The copies of the certified copies of the priority document.	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s	•	<b>∆</b> \	mmon/(DTO 442)	
2)	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/l	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date prmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/763,674 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Application and Claims Status

1. Claims 1-23 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to a compositions, classified in class 977, subclass 742.
  - II. Claims 5-13, drawn to a library, classified in class 435, subclass DIG 22.
  - III. Claims 14-19, drawn to a method of nucleic acid sequencing, classified in class435, subclass 6.
  - IV. Claims 20-23, drawn to a method of producing carbon nanotubes, classified in class 977, subclass 842.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions of Groups I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions are distinct because they have materially different design, i.e. different structural features. For example, Group I requires the feature of two carbon nanotubes. Group II requires the feature of probe and carbon nanotube.

As a result, these different designs have different function and/or effect. Consequently, the related inventions are distinct, i.e. mutually exclusive, and the art anticipating or rendering

Application/Control Number: 10/763,674 Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

obvious each of the above-identified groups respectively would not necessarily anticipate or render obvious another group, because they are drawn to different inventions that have different distinguishing features. Therefore, these inventions are distinct, and the restriction between these groups is proper.

- 4. Inventions of Groups III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation and effects, i.e. using different steps, requiring different reagents and/or producing different results. For example, Group III requires the method step of hybridizing the probes with a nucleic acid. Group IV requires the method step of dividing the SiC layer into SiC deposits of predetermined size and shape. These steps require different reagents and/or producing different results. As a result, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation and effects, and the restriction between these groups is proper.
- 5. Inventions of Groups I and II (product) and Group III (process) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as protein binding assay.

Application/Control Number: 10/763,674 Page 4

Art Unit: 1639

6. Inventions of Groups I and II (product) and Group IV (process) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as vaporization of carbon source with a laser.

- 7. These inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or divergent subject matter. The different methods would require completely different searches in both the patent and non-patent databases, and there is no expectation that the searches would be coextensive. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to a product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined

Application/Control Number: 10/763,674

Art Unit: 1639

claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Art Unit: 1639

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday: 8:00-2:30; Tuesday-Thursday: 7:30-5:00; Friday: 8:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras, Jr., can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

My-Chau T. Tran

June 30, 2006